

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 and 112. The claims have been amended to particularly call for a method of play of the casino dice game. Accordingly, these rejections should be withdrawn.

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Hunter showing a craps game, and Bowling, showing a three dice game, and under 35 U.S.C §103 as being obvious over Bowling in view of Hunter. The claims have been amended to clearly distinguish the instant invention from a craps game, and be limited to a two dice game. Accordingly, the rejections should be withdrawn.

In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of the original claims should be considered as no longer tenable with respect to the claims 1 and 2 and should be withdrawn. The claims should be considered as patentably distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Dated: September 28, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Robert M. Mason, Reg. No. 33,067
402 Carillon Tower West
13601 Preston Road
Dallas, Texas 75240
Telephone: (972) 788-1500
Facsimile: (972) 788-1561

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Appl. No. 10/782,688
Amdt. Dated 09/28/2005
Reply to Office action of 03/28/2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the above-noted paper was deposited with the United States Postal Service to Addressee service in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 28, 2005.



Robert M. Mason